

Message# 301_8-18-2024 – In the Beginning

Preached first on 8/18/2024 on www.molibertyradio.us

Good morning everyone. Thank you for tuning into the message this morning.

It is, once again, my intention to get back to the series on “In the Beginning” where are going through Bible verses where we look at what the Bible says about Creation. Hopefully, we'll have enough time to get to it today. However, what we talked about last week and what I need to continue with this week - still relates to the subject: “In the Beginning”.

The reason the world is in the shape it is in right now - worse off than even just a few decades ago - and exponentially getting worse - is because the great majority of the world has denied the truth of the Genesis account of Creation. Denying chapters 1 and 2 - when you deny chapters 1 and 2 - then it makes the denial of chapter 3 even easier. And that's what has happened. The reality is - when you deny chapters 1 and 2 - there's not even a reason to go on to chapter 3 - which is - in my opinion - the most important chapter in the Genesis account of Creation.

That's just my opinion. Some of you may be more impacted by the very first verses of Genesis 1. Perfectly fine. No argument from me. Some may be more impacted by the principle of the Light - and that's fine. Can't get an argument from me. I am speaking from my perspective - and what has impacted me the most right now - in this particular point in my life - the thing that is impacting me the most about the Genesis account of Creation - is that in the most early days of God's interaction with His Creation of man - and of woman - He said - “You are not to touch, not to eat, of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil.” Meaning - “you are not to make your own definitions of good and evil, right and wrong. The day you do that - is the day you will surely die.”

And from that point on - pretty much the main theme of the Word of God has been, “Obey My Commandments, Obey My Laws, Obey My Statutes, Obey My Ordinances, Obey My Judgments, and - in addition to exclusively obeying Only His Laws - He counters that with - “Do not obey the laws, commandments, judgments, statutes of the heathen.”

That is all so simple. It doesn't even take a lot of study of the Bible to see that the whole Book is about obeying God versus obeying man.

Turn back to Genesis chapter 3 - and I will show you how quickly this all got messed up - and the lesson for us - is clearly - do not make the same mistake. I don't know why it's so difficult for people - well - I need to stop saying that - because I do know why people can't see such simple things - it's that monster called "church" - that serpent, that devil, that satan - who always follows the true Word of God with things like - "Did God really say? Did God really say that man is not to make his own laws, his own definitions of good and evil, right and wrong?"

I sent an email to flat earth Dave this week concerning the video that he posted with himself and Carrie Madej (that's probably not how she pronounces her name) - but I told Dave that his part of the interview was great. But at the end of the video, Carrie and all her churchian cronies - completely unraveled all the truths that Dave had shared. They immediately started in on the "end times" and all of that churchian/governmental lie. I told Dave, when you present truth - in the hopes that people will gain that knowledge - then act on it - because faith without works - belief without works is dead being alone - when you present truth - then someone comes along and says - "That's great, but we are in the 'end times'" - then - that is a works killer.

"What's the use? What's the purpose? If we are in the 'end times' - fulfilling Bible prophecy - then not only is there anything we should do about anything, there's nothing we can do about anything. To go against the fulfillment of Bible prophecy, is to go against God Himself."

So, these churchians - who love to talk about conspiracies, they love to talk about the bad things in their chosen government, they love to talk about evil and their satans and their demons - their spirit beings floating around in the sky and underneath the beds of their little ones - they are the main reason why people will not yield to the clear teachings of the Word of God in how they are supposed to live their lives - because "the end is near and it's all coming to halt very soon. Just go to 'church', give them your money, pay your taxes, obey the 'government' - and then sit back and wait, watch the show, let everything continue to get worse - because that's what God wants."

Dave said in his video that he was going to be talking to people about how "stop paying federal income taxes." In my email, I asked him how he thought that was going to go over with all the churchian people he was talking with. Those are the people who say that Jesus said to pay taxes. Jesus said to pay "Caesar." That's not going to go over with the churchian crowd. The "church" and all the people who play "church" and have not

woken up to what the purpose of the “church” is - are the religious arm of the state. The shape of the world, the understanding of the sun, the moon and the stars - is not the greatest deception in the world. It is absolutely a great deception. And that deception has been used to make people doubt the existence of God - which is for all intents and purposes a denial of the existence of God. It is a huge deception. But a greater deception than that, is the one called “church.” From “church” all the other deceptions gain traction. The greatest of all lies. Is the one that says, “Did God really say?” And, as we progress through the proper understanding of the Word of God - we find “Did God really say?”, change to - “God said. God said you are to submit to the definitions of good and evil as defined by man. God said you are to submit to men's 'governments.' God said 'satan owns the world'.” All of that has come from that thing called “church” - a word and concept that should never have been in our English Bibles.

Every time I am reminded of that, I'm amazed at how I was raised. In the “Baptist churches” that I was raised in - they were “King James Only” - the “King James Bible is the inspired Word of God. If Jesus were here today, He'd be using a 'King James Bible.' If the King James Bible was good enough for the apostle Paul, then it's good enough for me.” Yet, right in the foreward of the original 1611 King James Bible they said - quote - this is from their own words - I have a copy of an original 1611 KJV - and this is what they said:

Add hereunto, that niceness in words was always counted the next step to trifling, and so was to be curious about names too: also that we cannot follow a better pattern for elocution than God himself; therefore he using divers words, in his holy writ, and indifferently for one thing in nature: we, if we will not be superstitious, may use the same liberty [the same liberty as God?] in our English versions out of Hebrew and Greek, for that copy or store that he hath given us.

Stop for a minute. They said, “Because God used different words, in his holy writ, we can take the same liberty in our English versions.” Based on what they said next - in my opinion - they just made themselves equal with God. They said we have the liberty to change certain words. And their stated reasoning was so that they could make the Bible easier for everyone to understand. I would not be so concerned with that - had the words they chose to change - not gone beyond making it easier to understand - but rather - changing the understanding also. It wasn't just making a word easier to understand - they completely changed to words that had a completely different understanding. In both cases of the words they referred to. Continue:

Lastly, we have on the one side avoided the scrupolosity of the Puritans,

We've talked about this in great detail before. Scrupolosity. From scruples. Here, it means to err - if you are going to make a mistake - if what you are going to say or do has any question, any reservation, any concern that it could be the wrong thing - then don't do it. "Better to err on the side of caution." But no. They said they were "avoiding the scrupolosity of the Puritans" - they were going to forge right on ahead with what they wanted to do - in relation to two specific words - that in my opinion - have completely altered the understanding of the intent of the Word of God for pretty much the entire world - since 1611. What they did has completely changed what God intended for His Creation to know and understand. And very few people have seen through the deception.

They put it in writing. It's been available for 413 years. They changed the Bible. They changed what God intended for His Creation to know and understand. And it set the world on a tail-spin ever since.

Lastly, we have on the one side avoided the scrupolosity of the Puritans, who leave the old Ecclesiastical words, and betake them to other, as when they put Washing for Baptism, and Congregation instead of Church:

The Puritans, they said, used the word washing, instead of baptism. Yes. Because that was what it was. Whenever you see the physical application of water to the flesh in our Bibles, you are seeing people washing according to the Old Covenant Laws that God gave Moses. The physical washing requirements with physical water are all over the Old Covenant Law. They had practical purposes. They had spiritual purposes. And it was part - a huge part - equally as important - and I've made an argument before - they may have been more important than the blood requirements of the Old Covenant Law. So, to maintain scrupolosity - it's best just to say the water was at least as important as the blood. It was water and blood. All over the Old Covenant Law - it was water and blood. Why? Because when Jesus Christ the King, the Messiah, was murdered by the religious jews, executed by the Roman state, it was water and blood that poured from His side.

Rock of ages, cleft for me. Let me hide myself in thee. Let the water and the blood, let the water and the blood, let the water and the blood, from Thy riven side which flowed - be of sin - the double cure - saved from wrath and make me pure.

"Baptism" - a word that never even should have made it into our Bibles - has become

known as a church water ritual - that supposedly entered the scene for the first time in the first century. Amazing that John the Washer - an Old Covenant Prophet - who never so much as dipped one of his toes in the New Covenant World - supposedly brought onto the scene something new that is an essential element of salvation in the New Covenant world. That is ridiculous. And, is a huge part of the deception that has kept people from finding the true path to salvation. And right there in the beginning of the Book that all the "King James Only" preachers demanded - and their own words say they are the ones that decided to go against the "scrupolosity of the Puritans" and insert brand new words into the Bible. And as a result today, millions and millions, possibly billions of people believe that they are in Christ, they are in the family of God, because they participated in a church water ritual - that was part of the Old Covenant Law that was nailed to the cross by Jesus Christ Himself.

I'm telling you today, friends, if you, or anyone you know, has placed their trust in that church water ritual as having anything whatsoever to do with their salvation - they are lost. They need to be rescued. They have believed a lie. If you have participated in a church water ritual - you need to repent. You need to ask God for forgiveness. It was a sin of ignorance and it is absolutely forgivable. All we need to do is ask for forgiveness and the tender mercies of God will be given.

Lastly, we have on the one side avoided the scrupolosity of the Puritans, who leave the old Ecclesiastical words, and betake them to other, as when they put Washing for Baptism, and Congregation instead of Church:

Along with the word baptism, they falsely, deceptively inserted the word church. They had no authority to do so. They had no right to do so. Now. Let's do something I have never done when talking about this. Let's give them the benefit of the doubt for a second.

Even when I was playing "church" - as a young man - I never believed in "church" the same way most others did. In fact, I think it's fair to say that if you put 100 "church" people in a room with me - and asked for the understanding of the word "church" - it probably would have been 99 against 1.

One of the most influential "pastors" that I ever had dealings with use to ask us, "Is the 'church' the people? Is it the building? Or is it both?" I could never agree to anything other than that is was the people. He wanted us to agree with him that "church" was the people and the building. That's how you get people to say, "Where do you go to

church?"

I never believed that "church" was supposed to have any other meaning than the people. For instance. I've told you before about Lester Roloff. Back in the 1970s and 1980s, Lester Roloff was being attacked by the "state of Texas" for not taking a license for certain "ministries in his 'church.'" So, I used to look at that situation and say, "Ok, if the "church" is not supposed to take a license from the health department, or a license from the Department of Human Resources - the "church" is supposed to be the people. The people - the people who make up the "church" are not supposed to take licenses from the state. It isn't that the building isn't supposed to take a license - the people aren't supposed to take a license. The "church" doesn't pay taxes. Ok. The people are supposed to be the "church." The people aren't supposed to pay taxes.

Separation of "church and state." The people are supposed to be the "church." The people of the "church" are supposed to be separate from the state.

Now if that's what the understanding in 1611 - if that's what the people understood what was supposed to be meant by the word "church" in 1611 - then that's not so awful. But that's not what is meant by the word "church." That is not what people understand when they hear the word "church." When people hear the word "church" they think of a building. It is a place where religious people go to sing, to get preached at, to get dunked in water or watch others get dunked in water, and to be separated from their money. That's what "church" is. We all know that. The whole world knows that.

But that is not what God ever intended when He caused the Holy men of God to write down the word [Greek word] ekklesia. Ekklesia means Government. Ekklesia does not mean "church." Ekklesia should have never been translated as "church." And, in the very first completed Bible from the Greek to the English - the word "church" was not in there. William Tyndale was executed because he refused to translate Ekklesia as "church." Yet here we are today and nearly the entire world has bought into the lie of that thing called "church" not even having the faintest understanding that others had been executed because they knew the word was not an honest translation.

Ekklesia means the Government of God. The Kingdom of God. The Kingdom of Heaven. The Kingdom of Christ. When it is preached, it is the calling out - it is calling people out from the domain of darkness - from the dominion of satans, demons, devils - who compel men and women boys and girls to submit to their definitions of good and evil in

opposition to the Ekklesia of God who demands that every thing in God's Creation bow the knee exclusively to the Laws, Commandments, Judgments, Ordinances of God and God alone.

Jesus Christ came to this earth to draw people into His Ekklesia. Into His Government. Ekklesia is the word used in the Greek Septuagint to describe the Government of God in Old Covenant Israel. It was the throne of David. And Jesus Christ took that throne. Jesus Christ took the throne of David - as not only the King of kings and Lord of lords - but the last of the Kings that God allows for His Creation.

But for that change. But for the changing of the understand of the word ekklesia - changed from congregation - which clearly - in the 1500s and the 1600s - people did understand that to mean Government - changed to "church" which only has spiritual meanings in the minds of nearly the entire world. But for the change. Today, I have to believe that many more people would understand that God has a Government. God has a Lawbook. And every man, woman boy and girl, every beast of the field and every creeping thing - is to bow to and submit to - the exclusive Government of God.

Instead, today. We have this monstrous beast called "church" - which is the religious arm of every state known in the world today. It is a place where people go to be coerced into believing that it is God Himself - that demands obedience to the "governments" of men - the definitions of good and evil - as defined by mere men. It is a place where the flags of men's little g "governments" always - without exception - fly higher and in greater prominence - mostly without even the slightest amount of deference or lip service to the Government of God. Quoting again from the 1611 KJV.

Lastly, we have on the one side avoided the scrupulosity of the Puritans, who leave the old Ecclesiastical words, and betake them to other, as when they put Washing for Baptism, and Congregation instead of Church: as also on the other side we have shunned the obscurity of the Papists, in their Azimes, Tunike, Rational, Holocausts, Praepuce, Pasche, and a number of such like, whereof their late Translation is full, and that of purpose to darken the sense, that since they must needs translate the Bible, yet by the language thereof, it may be kept from being understood. But we desire that the Scripture may speak like itself, as in the language of Canaan, that it may be understood even of the very vulgar.

Once again, giving them the benefit of the doubt, not knowing what was in their hearts, but to change the words they admitted to - was the greatest backfire of that time - and

has done more to damage the truth - and prevent people from finding the Government of God - than all the other good that was done by making the rest of the Words of God available in English. They would have been far better off in leaving what had already been done by Tyndale and Coverdale. Than to insert the words baptism and church.

“Church” the religious arm of the state - can be seen unraveling truth pretty much everywhere it's seen. Now Genesis chapter 3 again. Let's go ahead and start with verse 1.

[1] Now the serpent was more subtil than any beast of the field which the LORD God had made. And he said unto the woman, Yea, hath God said, Ye shall not eat of every tree of the garden?

In Genesis chapter 2, verse 15, God implemented His very first Law to man. It was there, the first recorded Law that God said to man - as far as - “Thou shalt not”, goes. Turn back a page and look at it, please. Verse 15.

[15] And the LORD God took the man, and put him into the garden of Eden to dress it and to keep it.

[16] And the LORD God commanded the man, saying, Of every tree of the garden thou mayest freely eat:

[17] But of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, thou shalt not eat of it: for in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die.

Now friends, knowing what we know about the rest of the Bible, there's just no possible way that we can say this means God did not want Adam and Eve to know the difference between right and wrong. The whole Book is about knowing the difference between right and wrong, good and evil, and cleaving to the good and fleeing from the evil. God is saying you are not allowed to define good and evil. You can't make your own tree. You can't produce your own fruit. On all these other things - you have great freedom. But this one tree, this one principle, this one thing - you are not allowed to make up your own definitions of good and evil. Now, if that's a stretch. If that's just me trying to give an explanation - either way - take it how you want - ultimately it's God saying - “I told you not to do something. If you disobey Me you are going to die.” It's all about obeying God - and obeying God exclusively.

This is proven so easily as we progress through the Bible. Over and over - God calls people back to His Laws and His Laws exclusively. Back to chapter 3, verse 2.

[2] And the woman said unto the serpent, We may eat of the fruit of the trees of the garden:

[3] But of the fruit of the tree which is in the midst of the garden, God hath said, Ye shall not eat of it, neither shall ye touch it, lest ye die.

[4] And the serpent said unto the woman, Ye shall not surely die:

[5] For God doth know that in the day ye eat thereof, then your eyes shall be opened, and ye shall be as gods, knowing good and evil.

[6] And when the woman saw that the tree was good for food, and that it was pleasant to the eyes, and a tree to be desired to make one wise, she took of the fruit thereof, and did eat, and gave also unto her husband with her; and he did eat.

[7] And the eyes of them both were opened, and they knew that they were naked; and they sewed fig leaves together, and made themselves aprons.

[8] And they heard the voice of the LORD God walking in the garden in the cool of the day: and Adam and his wife hid themselves from the presence of the LORD God amongst the trees of the garden.

[9] And the LORD God called unto Adam, and said unto him, Where art thou?

[10] And he said, I heard thy voice in the garden, and I was afraid, because I was naked; and I hid myself.

[11] And He said, Who told thee that thou wast naked? Hast thou eaten of the tree, whereof I commanded thee that thou shouldest not eat?

[12] And the man said, The woman whom thou gavest to be with me, she gave me of the tree, and I did eat.

[13] And the LORD God said unto the woman, What is this that thou hast done? And the woman said, The serpent beguiled me, and I did eat.

[14] And the LORD God said unto the serpent, Because thou hast done this, thou art cursed above all cattle, and above every beast of the field; upon thy belly shalt thou go, and dust shalt thou eat all the days of thy life:

[15] And I will put enmity between thee and the woman, and between thy seed and her seed; it shall bruise thy head, and thou shalt bruise his heel.

[16] Unto the woman he said, I will greatly multiply thy sorrow and thy conception; in sorrow thou shalt bring forth children; and thy desire shall be to thy husband, and he shall rule over thee.

[17] And unto Adam he said, Because thou hast hearkened unto the voice of thy wife, and hast eaten of the tree, of which I commanded thee, saying, Thou shalt not eat of it: cursed is the ground for thy sake; in sorrow shalt thou eat of it all the days of thy life;

Here it is. Here's the principle that mankind began with. "You obeyed someone else. I gave you this command, but you obeyed someone else." That's what it's all about. It's about exclusively obeying the voice of God - and only obeying the voice of God.

[18] Thorns also and thistles shall it bring forth to thee; and thou shalt eat the herb of the field;

[19] In the sweat of thy face shalt thou eat bread, till thou return unto the ground; for out of it wast thou taken: for dust thou art, and unto dust shalt thou return.

[20] And Adam called his wife's name Eve; because she was the mother of all living.

[21] Unto Adam also and to his wife did the LORD God make coats of skins, and clothed them.

[22] And the LORD God said, Behold, the man is become as one of us, to know good and evil: and now, lest he put forth his hand, and take also of the tree of life, and eat, and live for ever:

Do you ever wonder why God allows bad things to happen in life? We just read it. It is supposed to be a constant reminder that man is supposed to yield his life in obedience to God and God only. Bad things in life happen because it is supposed to be a reminder of man's decision to enter into the realm of being his own definer of good and evil, of making his own "government" - of refusing to obey exclusively - the Laws of God.

Don't misunderstand now, I'm not saying if bad things happen in your life - it is because you are sinning. Not saying that at all. The Bible says it rains on the just and the unjust. We live in a fallen world - which is supposed to be a constant reminder of Adam and Eve's choice to go against the Laws of God. Bad things happen to every single one of us. It is a reminder of the past. And sadly, most people choose to never learn from the past.

Man now has a constant struggle between obeying God exclusively, or listening to the voices of others. There are always going to be serpents. There are always going to be satans, devils, demons - who are always there to try to lead us away from the exclusive voice of God. That's what this is all about. And we are supposed to learn from this. This, the most detailed first interaction between God and man. Don't be led astray by serpents. Don't be led astray by other men or other women - not even by your own wife. Man was created to be exclusively Governed by God.

Last week, I spent some time talking to you about Grady Judd and his deputies killing a

man who was - by his own testimony - by Judd's own testimony - the man was minding his own business. He was just sitting in the park in his car - with his white robe on - and the cops are the ones who instigated the whole thing - which then devolved into a fight - which then had two cops shot - and the man who was just sitting in the park - had done nothing wrong - had no criminal past - according to Judd himself - he ends up dead.

Then, in order to spin his deputies out of trouble - who should have been charged with murder - and Judd should be charged with some sort of culpability too, because he is the one who let it be in the minds of his deputies that they could do what they did - Judd made a video and put it on youtube spending the majority of the time demonizing the man that he himself said - had no criminal past - and had he had just fell down and worshiped at the feet of the deputies - nothing else would have happened.

I misstated something about the video and I need to correct it. Thanks to Jeff for alerting me to it. I said that Judd said - two times - that the man murdered his deputies. That was not accurate. He said it once. The other time he said "attempted murder" - but there was another time - where he said murdered - not attempted murder - but murder. Listen to this:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OJp1hCKx1KA>

So, the first thing he says is that they were on the lookout for gang bangers, teen-agers, who were looking for unlocked doors in the neighborhood so they could steal. Great. I'm all for that. Catch the thieves. Deal with the thieves. Thou shalt not steal. Let's enforce the Laws of God.

The first time I listened to this, I did not catch him say that the concession stand had been broken into. Interestingly enough - he did say the concession stand had been broken into - "recently". So, I looked it up. You can find a link to the concession stand robbery in Hunt Fountain Park in Lakeland online. The link is in the notes.

<https://www.polksheriff.org/news-investigations/crime-stoppers/crime-stoppers/burglary-hunt-fountain-park-lakeland>

The robbery took place in 2022. That was two years ago. I would hardly refer to that as "recently". I searched several pages deep looking for something more recent - I wouldn't be surprised if the concession stand had been broken into since 2022 - but the

only thing I could find was from 2022. I'll tell you, I could be wrong - but I believe this was the start of Judd's demonizing the man and turning the naive public into believing the murder of the man was justified. Benefit of the doubt - maybe two years is recently for some people. Not for me. But maybe for others.

So, now we come to the white robe. And Judd wants to associate the white robe with "some sort of religious cult garb?" Well, that's interesting. I wonder if there were any "churchmen" in the area that took exception to the white robe being associated with religious cult garb? I know that it certainly bothered me when I heard him say that. I didn't associate the white robe with the man they murdered - not at all - but I'll tell you what I did immediately associate with the white robe - and it is very troublesome to me.

Revelation 6:10-11

[10] And they cried with a loud voice, saying, How long, O Lord, holy and true, dost thou not judge and avenge our blood on them that dwell on the earth?

[11] And white robes were given unto every one of them; and it was said unto them, that they should rest yet for a little season, until their fellowservants also and their brethren, that should be killed as they were, should be fulfilled.

And this from Revelation chapter 7:

[9] After this I beheld, and, lo, a great multitude, which no man could number, of all nations, and kindreds, and people, and tongues, stood before the throne, and before the Lamb, clothed with white robes, and palms in their hands;

[10] And cried with a loud voice, saying, Salvation to our God which sitteth upon the throne, and unto the Lamb.

[11] And all the angels stood round about the throne, and about the elders and the four beasts, and fell before the throne on their faces, and worshipped God,

[12] Saying, Amen: Blessing, and glory, and wisdom, and thanksgiving, and honour, and power, and might, be unto our God for ever and ever. Amen.

[13] And one of the elders answered, saying unto me, What are these which are arrayed in white robes? and whence came they?

[14] And I said unto him, Sir, thou knowest. And he said to me, These are they which came out of great tribulation, and have washed their robes, and made them white in the blood of the Lamb.

Don't misunderstand me. I'm not identifying with the black Moor they murdered in the parking lot. I'm identifying with the white robe that the Florida sheriff says is something that religious cults would have. The white robe comes from Revelation 6 and 7 and is something identified with the persecuted saints of God. How dare Grady Judd in his attempt to demonize a man his deputies murdered - call them heroes - and do a smear job in calling white robes something that religious cults wear. "It's certainly not normal street clothes."

Normal street clothes? As defined by who? Who is the one that defines "normal street clothes?" I'll tell you who it is. It is satans, serpents, devils and demons who make their own definitions of right and wrong, good and evil - then force those definitions on other people at the barrel end of a gun. You immediately decide that a man or a woman is evil because they are not wearing what you believe is "normal street clothes."

Yet, in other parts of the u.s. - they can have state sanctioned, city sanctioned parades in the streets with freaks, queers and perverts dressed in all sorts of crazy weird stuff - and that's ok. That's normal. And just so that I can't be accused of making my own definitions of crazy weird stuff - let me narrow down what I just said. Men dressed like women. Women dressed like men. Trans. Parades where people are wearing next to nothing or nothing at all. That's weird. That's not normal. And that's not my definitions - that's God's definitions.

But here we have a man - that by Judd's own statement - said was doing nothing wrong - had no criminal past [nothing wrong other than sitting in the park after dark] - but because he was wearing a white robe - he was part of a religious cult. Well friends. Being called a religious cult is what the first Christians, the saints of the first century were called a religious cult - and many of them were dressed in white robes.

If you watch the video, you will hear Judd say that the man started to move his vehicle. And you will hear him say that the man in the car is the one who fired first. Only God knows if this is true. I wonder if there was body camera worn by the cops. If so, I'd sure like to see it and maybe it would fill in some of the blanks.

It will be interesting to see if any body camera footage comes out. It's been about 5 months since the killing and I can't find anything. I did find where in 2023, Polk County was to require all their deputies to wear body cameras - and the cameras are supposed to activate whenever they draw their gun. That link is in the notes, also.

<https://www.kcci.com/article/polk-county-sheriffs-office-body-cameras/43558618>

I want to know who fired first. That would be interesting. But I also know that in their "law" - self-defense cannot be used if you were the one who started the fight. I certainly don't believe that someone could be accused necessarily of starting a fight by asking someone to identify themselves - but I've also seen enough videos on the way a lot of cops demand i.d. from people to know that someone could become fearful in a situation like - at night - someone comes up to you armed - probably screaming - using the f word every other time like they do....I need to stop there. Because might be accused of demonizing the deputies the same why I'm accusing Judd of demonizing the man that got killed. So, I'll just keep the rest of my thoughts to myself and to my wife.

Regardless - none of this should have ended up with bullets flying. One man dead, two more wounded. That's ridiculous. No excuse for it.

Here's a little more of the video. (potential for violence)

As Judd tries to demonize this man, he asks us to go back to 2017 where he says 3 police officers were killed by 2 Moorish men. And because of that, we know that all Moorish men are killers. In the video, Judd says that "sovereign citizens" - people who do not believe in the "laws, federal, state and local" - are in every county in every state in the u.s. And these are all dangerous, extremist cop killers. So there's that many of those evil people out there - but it has been 7 years since one of them killed a cop? Seven years? That makes me question some things. First off, that makes me question just how dangerous these people are - that you have to go back 7 years to find an example - and also - it makes me doubt that there are as many as he says. I would think that if there were that many - there'd be a whole lot more run-ins than once every 7 years or so.

Listen to this. (paperwork)

Judd says they like to file paperwork. I've said this to you before in regards to this. And listen now, I'm not defending "sovereign citizens" I'm not defending the Moors -they need to come to Christ as much as these other people do - I'm showing how - in their world - they are even demonizing paperwork. Filing paperwork - is not a form of violence. Writing letters, using the pen, that is a peaceful way to try to solve a controversy. Judd says in his video that the "state of Florida" passed more "laws" to make the filing of the paperwork "against the law." So, in their minds, because they

believe they have the power to make their own laws - their own definitions of good and evil - they criminalized paperwork. They criminalized things that people who have a controversy - people that don't agree - people that want peaceful resolutions as opposed to going out into the courtyards or in the streets and solving their disagreements with a gunfight - they have criminalized otherwise peaceful behavior.

When you criminalize paperwork - what do you think the result might be? Do you think people might get frustrated? They might get aggravated? When their peaceful attempts to solve controversy get shot down, what might they resort to?

The famous lawyer Gerry Spence used to say that "Momma rabbits seem to be the most calm, peaceful animals that can be. And they usually are. But if you stick your hand down in their rabbit hole and try to mess with their babies, they can become as violent as any animal there is." Back any man into a corner where he feels there is no way to escape - but be killed - and there's nothing most men wouldn't do to get out of that situation.

The people that I know - and I have known some - people who would actually call themselves "sovereign citizens" - are not violent people. When they have controversy with their men's little g "governments" they want nothing more than a peaceful resolution. I've seen them, though, get frustrated. They argue man's "laws." They present their own "laws" against them - and they get turned away. They talk about redress of grievances and all that legal talk. But their redress of grievances usually ends up at the barrel end of a gun. "We don't like your grievances, so sit down, shut up, take it, or we'll take your property, take your car, take your money or kill you." Then, when the individual decides they won't accept that - that's usually when some type of violence takes place - and the one with the most guns, the most bullets, wins.

But criminalizing paperwork? Trying to demonize someone because they'd rather work out their disagreements with paper and pen as opposed to guns? That's not right. Listen to this.

(More paperwork laws)

Now listen to what else Judd has to say about this.

(Questions?)

He was not who we were looking for. But he ended up dead? “No 'criminal past.’”
Wasn't the one they were looking for. But he ended up dead.

“We don't know why he decided to murder.” Well, he didn't murder anyone. He was the one who was murdered - at least that's my opinion.

And there you have it. That's what it's all about. When he summed it up. “I'm a free man, or I'm a free woman - and they go into all this nonsense.” Yeah, Judd, it's all nonsense when people actually try to live as a free man or a free woman. Somehow it's not nonsense when you get up there on your political soapbox and tell everyone how free they are and the u.s. is the most free country on earth, and your founding fathers fought for freedom, and your deputies are on the road to protect people's freedom - and your military fought for everyone's freedom - but when someone actually stands up to you and demands freedom - and not just the garbage rhetoric that people like you spit out - then all of a sudden - that's all nonsense.

Out of the abundance of the heart, the mouth speaketh.

That's what Jesus said. And you just revealed what is truly in your heart. “It is nonsense to run around the streets of Florida and America - and claim that you are a free man or a free woman. That is nonsense.”

Well, it is nonsense to you. But it is not nonsense for the follower of Jesus Christ. It's a shame - I speak to our shame - white people shame - that black people - Muslim Moors - seem to have more understanding concerning the little g “governments” of men than most white people who call themselves Christians. I'm sure I'm safe in saying that - no - I am safe in saying that Grady Judd calls himself a “christian”. And in the notes - I put that as a lower case c - further trying to separate what is truly Christian from what is a minister of Satan - transforming himself into an angel of light.

And friends, I'm not saying what I'm saying because he justified the killing of an otherwise innocent man. He said the man was innocent in the video. The man became guilty of something that ended up with his death - when he refused to identify himself to Judd's deputies. The man was not caught stealing at night. The man was not being accused of murdering someone. The man refused to cooperate with armed men and women at night by not giving them a piece of plastic they demanded - and it escalated into - we don't know because the body camera has not been released - so we don't really know who fired first. But we do know that the controversy was started by Judd's

deputies. If they would have minded their own business - none of that would probably have occurred.

I'm saying what I'm saying because Judd represents a segment of the population that believes they have the power to make their own definitions of good and evil, right and wrong - they call them "laws."

A true Christian, a true follower of Jesus Christ understands that God and God alone is the Lawmaker - the Only Lawmaker. His Laws are few. His Laws are just. His Laws are not burdensome. His yoke is easy and His burden is light. He doesn't nit pick His people to the point where the color of their shoe laces is a violation of a "law." He doesn't put people in jail or take their money away because they hang an air-freshener from the rear view mirror. He doesn't make people get licenses and permits and insurances and all sorts of other burdens in order to support their wives and children.

God has a handful of Laws. You don't murder. You don't steal. You don't adulterate. And just a few others.

It is the Gentiles, the lost, the heathen of the world that exercise dominion over other people. And Jesus Christ said among you - among My followers - it shall not be so.

Grady Judd is not a Christian. Jesus said by your own words you are condemned. Grady Judd is a churchian. If Grady Judd was a Christian he would do one of two things. He'd either quit being a sheriff enforcing the laws of men. Or, he could continue being a sheriff, but renounce the laws of men - and make sure that only the Laws of God were enforced in Polk County, Florida. That's what a Christian who was truly a minister of God would do in the capacity of a sheriff.

But as long as people are harassed by him and his deputies for failing to abide by the preferences of mere men - the definitions of right and wrong, good and evil as defined by mere men - then he is the minister of satans - those that have transformed themselves into angels of light. He says he is in "law enforcement." This is really really simple. Whose "law" are you enforcing? If his answer is man's laws. Then he's the minister of satan. If he is enforcing God's Laws - then he is the minister of God to thee for good. Really really simple.

I realize these last two weeks of messages have been very difficult. Hard preaching. But it's the truth and it's past time that the truth be preached to this lost and dying world.

The world is being run by the ministers of satan. Those who are in places - high places is what the Word of God calls it - places of exercising dominion over people by enforcing their own definitions of good and evil, right and wrong. God - and God alone is the definer of good and evil. From the very beginning - in the first days of Creation - God said man is to obey exclusively God's Commandments.

The serpent. The devil. Satan. Demons - whatever you want to call them. They are all of the same spirit - the same attitude - understanding - way of life - way of thinking - serpents - "Did God really say you were only to obey Him? He only told you that because He didn't want you to be like Him."

Well, yes. That's exactly what He was doing. God said He was the Only Elohim. The Only Ruler, Judge and Magistrate that Adam and Eve were to submit to. And the serpent - the minister of satan - came along and deceived Eve into believing that it was perfectly fine for her and Adam to become elohims.

Whether this story from Genesis chapters 2 and 3 literally took place or not. There is principle. There is everlasting principle here that God wants every man, woman boy and girl to understand - to never forget - and to always submit to. And that is that God and God alone is the Only One that He allows to make "Law." Man is not allowed. Man was never allowed to make their own "laws."

The whole world disagrees. The whole world thinks this is nothing but nonsense. But these things are all written for our learning. It's so simple. It's so easy. We are to obey God and His Government exclusively. God sent His Only Son to this earth - to once again establish His Kingdom - His Government. And it has been since then, the responsibility of every living, breathing creature - to come out from the little g "governments" of the world - and into the Only God Ordained Government allowed - the Government of God.